Explanatory Notes

The Louisiana Department of Education has established guidelines within the Accountability System to allow schools to appeal their accountability results.

Α	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	1	J	
School Name	Grade Configuration (2001-2002)	Baseline SPS (2001)	Interim SPS (2002)	Growth ^c (2001 to 2002)	Growth Target (2003)	% Progress ^c Toward Growth Target (E/F X 100)	Corrective Actions	Growth Label (2001)	Performance Label (2001)	
Elementary/Middle Schools										
A.C. Alexander Elementary School	PK,K-5	90.8	95.8	5.0	5	100.0	No	Exemplary Academic Growth	Academically Above the State Average	
Airline Park Elementary School	PK,K-5	95.8	95.1	(0.7)	5	(14.0)	No	Exemplary Academic Growth	Academically Above the State Average	
Alice M.Birney Elementary School	PK,K-5	96.2	98.8	2.6	5	52.0	No	Minimal Academic Growth	Academically Above the State Average	
Ames Elementary School	PK,K-5	74	87.9	13.9	6.2	224.2	No	Exemplary Academic Growth	Academically Below the State Average	
Bissonet Plaza Elementary School	PK,K-5	105.1	99.3	(5.8)	5	(116.0)	No	Exemplary Academic Growth	School of Academic Achievement	
Bonella A. St. Ville Elementary School	PK,K-5	32.1	34	1.9	15.3	12.4	CA-I	No Growth	Academically Below the State Average	
Bridge City Elementary School	PK,K-6	61.7	74.2	12.5	8.7	143.7	No	Recognized Academic Growth	Academically Below the State Average	
Bridgedale Elementary School	PK,K-5	84.3	89.1	4.8	5	96.0	No	Exemplary Academic Growth	Academically Above the State Average	
Catherine Strehle Elementary School	PK,K-6	69.6	90.6	21.0	7.2	291.7	No	Exemplary Academic Growth	Academically Below the State Average	
Chateau Estates Elementary School	K-5	100.3	100.9	0.6	5	12.0	No	Exemplary Academic Growth	School of Academic Achievement	
Congetta Trippe Janet Elem Schl	PK,K-5	76.4	80.1	3.7	5.8	63.8	CA-I	Minimal Academic Growth	Academically Below the State Average	
Deckbar School	1-8	20.4	28.8	8.4	9	93.3	No	Minimal Academic Growth	Academically Unacceptable School	
Ella C. Pittman Elementary School	1-5	88.7	92.9	4.2	5	84.0	No	Recognized Academic Growth	Academically Above the State Average	
Ella Dolhonde Elementary School	PK,K-5	78.7	80.7	2.0	5	40.0	No	Exemplary Academic Growth	Academically Below the State Average	
Ellender Middle School	6-8	71	72.4	1.4	6.8	20.6	No	Exemplary Academic Growth	Academically Below the State Average	
Estelle Elementary School	PK,K-5	76.5	84.2	7.7	5.4	142.6	No	Exemplary Academic Growth	Academically Below the State Average	
Frederick Douglass Elementary School	1-6	50.4	53.9	3.5	10.7	32.7	No	Exemplary Academic Growth	Academically Below the State Average	
G.T. Woods Elementary School	PK,K-5	85	93	8.0	5	160.0	No	Minimal Academic Growth	Academically Above the State Average	
George Cox Elementary School	PK,K-6	56.8	68.7	11.9	9.8	121.4	No	Recognized Academic Growth	Academically Below the State Average	
Geraldine Boudreaux Elementary Schl	PK,K-6	73.5	78.3	4.8	6.1	78.7	CA-I	No Growth	Academically Below the State Average	
Green Park Elementary School	PK,K-5	90.8	92.5	1.7	5	34.0	No	Exemplary Academic Growth	Academically Above the State Average	
Greenlawn Terrace Elementary School	PK,K-5	91.9	96	4.1	5	82.0	No	Recognized Academic Growth	Academically Above the State Average	
Gretna #2 Kindergarten Center	PK,K	50.4	53.9	3.5	10.7	32.7	No	Exemplary Academic Growth	Academically Below the State Average	

Louisiana Department of Education Office of Management and Finance Division of Planning, Analysis and Information Resources November 2002

^a - Column A: School went into Corrective Actions I during Cycle I because its 1999 SPS was less than or equal to 30.0.

b - Column A: A magnet school is defined as a school having academic entrance requirements (e.g., GPA, test scores). These requirements apply to the entire school, encompassing all grades.

^c - Columns E and G: Numbers in parentheses are negative numbers.

Explanatory Notes

- ^a Column A: School went into Corrective Actions I during Cycle I because its 1999 SPS was less than or equal to 30.0.
- b Column A: A magnet school is defined as a school having academic entrance requirements (e.g., GPA, test scores). These requirements apply to the entire school, encompassing all grades.
- ^c Columns E and G: Numbers in parentheses are negative numbers.

The Louisiana Department of Education has established guidelines within the Accountability System to allow schools to appeal their accountability results.

А	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	I	J
School Name	Grade Configuration (2001-2002)	Baseline SPS (2001)	Interim SPS (2002)	Growth ^c (2001 to 2002)	Growth Target (2003)	% Progress ^c Toward Growth Target (E/F X 100)	Corrective Actions	Growth Label (2001)	Performance Label (2001)
Gretna Junior High School	7-9	51.8	52.3	0.5	11.1	4.5	No	Recognized Academic Growth	Academically Below the State Average
Harahan Elementary School	PK,K-5	97.5	106.5	9.0	5	180.0	No	Exemplary Academic Growth	Academically Above the State Average
Harold Keller Elementary School	K-5	99	100.8	1.8	5	36.0	No	No Label Was Assigned	Academically Above the State Average
Harry S. Truman Middle School	6-8	69.6	61.6	(8.0)	7	(114.3)	No	Exemplary Academic Growth	Academically Below the State Average
Harvey Kindergarten Center	K	88.7	92.9	4.2	5	84.0	No	Recognized Academic Growth	Academically Above the State Average
Hazel Park/Hilda Knoff School	PK,K-5	88.5	84.2	(4.3)	5	(86.0)	No	Recognized Academic Growth	Academically Above the State Average
Helen Cox Junior High School	6-9	57.8	62	4.2	9.8	42.9	No	Recognized Academic Growth	Academically Below the State Average
Henry Ford Junior High School	7-9	60.9	64.7	3.8	8.8	43.2	No	Exemplary Academic Growth	Academically Below the State Average
Homedale School	K-6	68.6	77.6	9.0	7.1	126.8	No	Exemplary Academic Growth	Academically Below the State Average
J.C. Ellis Elementary School	PK,K-5	77.9	86.6	8.7	5	174.0	CA-I	No Growth	Academically Below the State Average
J.D. Meisler Middle School	6-8	93.8	91.2	(2.6)	5	(52.0)	No	Exemplary Academic Growth	Academically Above the State Average
J.J. Audubon Elementary School	PK,K-5	78	84.7	6.7	5	134.0	No	Exemplary Academic Growth	Academically Below the State Average
Jean Lafitte Elementary School	PK,K-6	81.9	93.8	11.9	5	238.0	No	Exemplary Academic Growth	Academically Above the State Average
Jefferson Elementary School	K-5	89.8	88.1	(1.7)	5	(34.0)	No	Exemplary Academic Growth	Academically Above the State Average
John Clancy Elementary School	PK,K-5	71	65.3	(5.7)	6.6	(86.4)	No	Exemplary Academic Growth	Academically Below the State Average
John Q. Adams Middle School	6-8	87.4	88.4	1.0	5	20.0	No	Exemplary Academic Growth	Academically Above the State Average
Joseph S. Maggiore Sr. Elem Schl	PK,K-5	60.5	64.3	3.8	8.6	44.2	No	Exemplary Academic Growth	Academically Below the State Average
Joshua Butler Elementary School	PK,K-6	62.7	71.6	8.9	8.4	106.0	CA-I	Minimal Academic Growth	Academically Below the State Average
Kate Middleton Kindergarten	PK,K	75.9	62.9	(13.0)	5.5	(236.4)	No	Exemplary Academic Growth	Academically Below the State Average
L.H. Marrero Middle School	6-8	73.2	77.3	4.1	6.3	65.1	No	Exemplary Academic Growth	Academically Below the State Average
L.W. Ruppel Elementary School	PK,K-5	48.5	56.6	8.1	10.9	74.3	No	Exemplary Academic Growth	Academically Below the State Average
Lincoln Elementary School	PK,K-6	57.3	51.8	(5.5)	10.2	(53.9)	No	Exemplary Academic Growth	Academically Below the State Average
Livaudais Junior High School	7-9	64.2	62	(2.2)	8.4	(26.2)	No	Recognized Academic Growth	Academically Below the State Average
Live Oak Manor Elementary School	PK,K-6	93.7	86.1	(7.6)	5	(152.0)	No	Exemplary Academic Growth	Academically Above the State Average
Lucille Cherbonnier Elementary School	PK,K-6	60.9	62.6	1.7	9	18.9	No	Recognized Academic Growth	Academically Below the State Average
Marie B. Riviere Elementary School	PK,K-5	91.1	97.6	6.5	5	130.0	No	No Growth	Academically Above the State Average

Louisiana Department of Education Office of Management and Finance Division of Planning, Analysis and Information Resources November 2002

Explanatory Notes

- ^a Column A: School went into Corrective Actions I during Cycle I because its 1999 SPS was less than or equal to 30.0.
- b Column A: A magnet school is defined as a school having academic entrance requirements (e.g., GPA, test scores). These requirements apply to the entire school, encompassing all grades.
- ^c Columns E and G: Numbers in parentheses are negative numbers.

The Louisiana Department of Education has established guidelines within the Accountability System to allow schools to appeal their accountability results.

А	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	1	J
School Name	Grade Configuration (2001-2002)	Baseline SPS (2001)	Interim SPS (2002)	Growth ^c (2001 to 2002)	Growth Target (2003)	% Progress ^c Toward Growth Target (E/F X 100)	Corrective Actions	Growth Label (2001)	Performance Label (2001)
McDonogh #26 Elementary School	K-6	78.6	81.8	3.2	5.1	62.7	No	Exemplary Academic Growth	Academically Below the State Average
Metairie Grammar School	PK,K-5	102.1	100.4	(1.7)	5	(34.0)	No	Exemplary Academic Growth	School of Academic Achievement
Miller Wall Elementary School	PK,K-5	58.7	64.6	5.9	9.4	62.8	CA-I	Minimal Academic Growth	Academically Below the State Average
Norbert Rillieux Elementary School	PK,K-6	63.8	68.9	5.1	7.7	66.2	No	Exemplary Academic Growth	Academically Below the State Average
Paul J. Solis Elementary School	K-6	85.8	93	7.2	5	144.0	No	Exemplary Academic Growth	Academically Above the State Average
Phoebe Hearst School	PK,K-5	86.4	88.8	2.4	5	48.0	No	Minimal Academic Growth	Academically Above the State Average
Ralph J. Bunche Middle School	6-8	47.7	51.6	3.9	11.3	34.5	No	Recognized Academic Growth	Academically Below the State Average
Riverdale Middle School	6-8	77.7	79.9	2.2	5.1	43.1	No	Minimal Academic Growth	Academically Below the State Average
Rudolph Matas School	K-5	95.8	107.5	11.7	5	234.0	No	Exemplary Academic Growth	Academically Above the State Average
Shirley Johnson/Gretna Park Elem Schl	PK,K-6	65.2	84.1	18.9	7.9	239.2	No	Exemplary Academic Growth	Academically Below the State Average
Stella Worley Junior High School	7-9	53.9	57.2	3.3	10.7	30.8	No	Exemplary Academic Growth	Academically Below the State Average
T.H. Harris Middle School	6-8	81.8	82.5	0.7	5	14.0	No	Recognized Academic Growth	Academically Above the State Average
Terrytown Elementary School	K-6	69.3	63	(6.3)	6.8	(92.6)	CA-I	No Growth	Academically Below the State Average
Theodore Roosevelt Middle School	6-8	72	73.9	1.9	6.1	31.1	No	Exemplary Academic Growth	Academically Below the State Average
V.C. Haynes Middle School	6-8	83.1	79.3	(3.8)	5	(76.0)	No	Minimal Academic Growth	Academically Above the State Average
Vic A. Pitre Elementary School	PK,K-6	77	77.9	0.9	5.4	16.7	No	Exemplary Academic Growth	Academically Below the State Average
Waggaman Special School	1-8	13.6	32.3	18.7	11	170.0	No	No Growth	Academically Unacceptable School
Walter G. Schneckenburger Elem Schl	PK,K-5	94.7	97	2.3	5	46.0	No	Exemplary Academic Growth	Academically Above the State Average
Washington Elementary School	PK,K-5	67.4	74.4	7.0	7.4	94.6	No	Recognized Academic Growth	Academically Below the State Average
Westwego Elementary School	PK,K-6	64.2	75.5	11.3	8.5	132.9	No	Exemplary Academic Growth	Academically Below the State Average
William Hart Elementary School	K-6	75.9	62.9	(13.0)	5.5	(236.4)	No	Exemplary Academic Growth	Academically Below the State Average
Woodland West Elementary School	K-6	68	67.9	(0.1)	7.3	(1.4)	No	Recognized Academic Growth	Academically Below the State Average
Woodmere Elementary School	PK,K-5	58.8	67	8.2	9.6	85.4	CA-I	Minimal Academic Growth	Academically Below the State Average
Combination Schools									·
Fisher Middle/High School	7-12	72.3	71	(1.3)	5.2	(25.0)	No	Recognized Academic Growth	Academically Below the State Average

Explanatory Notes

- ^a Column A: School went into Corrective Actions I during Cycle I because its 1999 SPS was less than or equal to 30.0.
- b Column A: A magnet school is defined as a school having academic entrance requirements (e.g., GPA, test scores). These requirements apply to the entire school, encompassing all grades.
- ^c Columns E and G: Numbers in parentheses are negative numbers.

The Louisiana Department of Education has established guidelines within the Accountability System to allow schools to appeal their accountability results.

A	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	I	J
School Name	Grade Configuration (2001-2002)	Baseline SPS (2001)	Interim SPS (2002)	Growth ^c (2001 to 2002)	Growth Target (2003)	% Progress ^c Toward Growth Target (E/F X 100)	Corrective Actions	Growth Label (2001)	Performance Label (2001)
Grand Isle High School	K-12	72.9	83.3	10.4	5	208.0	No	Exemplary Academic Growth	Academically Below the State Average

Explanatory Notes

The Louisiana Department of Education has established guidelines within the Accountability System to allow schools to appeal their accountability results.

A	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	I	J
School Name	Grade Configuration (2001-2002)	Baseline SPS (2001)	Interim SPS (2002)	Growth ^c (2001 to 2002)	Growth Target (2003)	% Progress ^c Toward Growth Target (E/F X 100)	Corrective Actions	Growth Label (2001)	Performance Label (2001)
High Schools									
Alfred Bonnabel High School	8-12	50.4	56.3	5.9	6.5	90.8	No		Academically Below the State Average
East Jefferson High School	8-12	56.3	56	(0.3)	6.2	(4.8)	No		Academically Below the State Average
Grace King High School	8-12	78.6	85	6.4	5	128.0	No		Academically Above the State Average
John Ehret High School	8-12	56.6	59.4	2.8	6.2	45.2	No		Academically Below the State Average
L.W. Higgins High School	8-12	44.8	40.6	(4.2)	7.9	(53.2)	No		Academically Below the State Average
Riverdale High School	8-12	63.9	73.7	9.8	5	196.0	No		Academically Below the State Average
West Jefferson High School	8-12	31.7	40.1	8.4	9.8	85.7	No		Academically Below the State Average

^a - Column A: School went into Corrective Actions I during Cycle I because its 1999 SPS was less than or equal to 30.0.

b - Column A: A magnet school is defined as a school having academic entrance requirements (e.g., GPA, test scores). These requirements apply to the entire school, encompassing all grades.

^c - Columns E and G: Numbers in parentheses are negative numbers.